Association Between Sentinel Lymph Node Excision With or Without Preoperative SPECT/CT and Metastatic Node Detection and Disease-Free Survival in Melanoma
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Context Malignant melanoma has become an increasing interdisciplinary public health challenge worldwide. Sentinel lymph node excision (SLNE) is considered the most sensitive and specific staging test for the detection of micrometastatic melanoma in regional lymph nodes.

Objective To compare metastatic node detection and disease-free survival using single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography (SPECT/CT)-aided SLNE vs standard SLNE in patients with melanoma.

Design, Setting, and Patients A prospective, computerized melanoma patient database at the University Hospital Essen, Skin Cancer Center, Essen, Germany, was used to identify a cohort of 464 patients eligible for SLNE between March 2003 and April 2011. A total of 403 patients with clinically negative lymph nodes, who underwent SLNE with or without preoperative SPECT/CT, qualified for subsequent analysis.

Main Outcome Measures Metastatic node detection and disease-free survival.

Results Between March 2003 and October 2008, 254 patients underwent the standard SLNE technique. After November 2008, 149 patients underwent the SPECT/CT technique. Patients who did not receive SLNE in both intervals (46/300 [15.34%] for standard cohort vs 15/164 [9.15%] for SPECT/CT cohort; \(P=0.06\)) did not differ in either age (difference, 69.20 years; 95% CI, 62.84-72.07 years; \(P=0.38\)), tumor depth (difference, 2.90 mm; 95% CI, 2.87-4.54 mm; \(P=0.54\)), or ulceration of the primary tumor (difference, −8.00%; 95% CI, −35.74% to 19.81%; \(P=0.59\)). However, using SPECT/CT allowed SLNE in the head and neck area more frequently (2.0% for standard vs 23.5% for SPECT/CT; difference, 21.1%; 95% CI, 14.1%-28.2%; \(P<0.001\)). In the SPECT/CT cohort, more sentinel lymph nodes per patient were detected than in the standard cohort (2.40 vs 1.87; 95% CI, 1.93-2.18; \(P<0.001\)). The number of positive sentinel lymph nodes per patient was significantly higher in the SPECT/CT cohort than in the standard cohort (0.34 vs 0.21; 95% CI, 0.21-0.31; \(P=0.04\)). The local relapse rate in the SPECT/CT cohort was lower than in the standard cohort (2.40 vs 1.87; 95% CI, 1.93-2.18; \(P<0.001\)). The number of positive sentinel lymph nodes per patient was significantly higher in the SPECT/CT cohort than in the standard cohort (0.34 vs 0.21; 95% CI, 0.21-0.31; \(P=0.04\)). The local relapse rate in the SPECT/CT cohort was lower than in the standard cohort (6.8% vs 23.8%, \(P=0.03\)), which prolonged 4-year disease-free survival (93.9% vs 79.2%; \(P=0.02\)).

Conclusion Among patients with clinically lymph node–negative melanoma, the use of SPECT/CT-aided SLNE compared with SLNE alone was associated with a higher frequency of metastatic involvement and a higher rate of disease-free survival.
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status of the sentinel lymph node (SLN) is the most relevant prognostic factor for overall survival in patients with melanoma, independent of primary tumor thickness.\(^8\)\(^\text{10}\) Recommendations for the use of SLNE for primary melanoma are therefore included in the current American Joint Committee on Cancer guidelines.\(^11\) However, SLNE has a high false-negative rate of up to 44%.\(^12\) The current gold standard for SLN detection and targeted extirpation is preoperative lymphoscintigraphy. The recently introduced hybrid single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography (SPECT/CT) technique could help overcome the above-mentioned difficulties by providing additional anatomical information to the surgeon.\(^13\)\(^\text{15}\) Therefore, the preoperative 3-dimensional SLN mapping by means of hybrid SPECT/CT is gaining significance.\(^1\)\(^6\) The goal of our study was to compare the association between SLNE with vs without preoperative SPECT/CT imaging and metastatic node detection and disease-free survival in patients with cutaneous melanoma.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

A prospective, computerized melanoma patient database at the University Hospital Essen, Skin Cancer Center, Essen, Germany, was used to identify a cohort of 464 patients eligible for SLNE between March 2003 and April 2011. A patient was defined as clinically lymph node negative if neither in clinical examination nor in preoperative ultrasound a metastasis was detected.\(^11\) The study was approved by the institutional review board and all patients had given either written or oral consent to be entered into the database.

From the initial cohort, 403 patients with melanoma who underwent SLNE between March 2003 and April 2011 were evaluated. Between March 2003 and October 2008, 254 patients with melanoma received SNLE without preoperative SPECT/CT. Between November 2008 and April 2011, all sentinel node scintigraphies were performed as SPECT/CT in 149 patients. Obesity was analyzed because it has been reported as a cause for the non-visualization of SLNs on preoperative planar lymphoscintigraphy.\(^17\) Both cohorts were treated with the same therapy according to the guidelines of the Deutsche Dermatologische Gesellschaft (German Association of Dermatology).\(^18\)

With a negative SLN (stages I-II), the follow-up in both cohorts was the same and based on the guidelines of the German Association of Dermatology. Patients in both cohorts with a negative SNL but stage IIIC disease were offered low-dose interferon therapy. With a positive SLN, the standard treatment was regional lymph node dissection in both cohorts. Patient of both cohorts with stage IV disease received treatment with the chemotherapy gold standard dacarbazine. After 2010, 8 patients with stage IV melanoma in the SPECT/CT cohort were treated with study medications, such as BRAF inhibitors, MEK inhibitors, or CTLA-4 antibodies.

**Sentinel Node Scintigraphic Technique and SPECT/CT**

Two cohorts were formed according to the scintigraphic imaging technique used: examinations in which planar imaging and a SPECT/CT were accomplished formed the SPECT/CT cohort and examinations in which SPECT/CT was not available formed the standard cohort with standard planar imaging alone.

Lymphoscintigraphy was performed with either 16 MBq or 80 MBq of Tc-99m-nanocolloid (Nanocoll, GE Healthcare Buchler GmbH & Co KG) depending on the schedule of the surgical procedure—same day vs following day. The colloid was injected with a total volume of 0.4 mL in 4 intradermal deposits of 0.1 mL each, which were located at the borders of the primary tumor site, or were located on both sides of the excisional scar, if the primary tumor had been removed. Dynamic images of the corresponding anatomical region and their adjacent lymphatic basins were acquired at 30 seconds per frame for 5 minutes with a total of 10 frames. Afterwards, anterior, lateral, and oblique projections were acquired for 5 minutes each, using a dual-detector gamma camera with a mounted 2 row multidetector CT scanner (Symbia T, Siemens Healthcare).

Because the SPECT/CT technique became available in November 2008, SPECT/CT images of the region in which an SLN was visualized were obtained immediately after the planar images showing an SLN (SPECT: 128×128 matrix, 128 frames, 25 seconds per frame, OSEM algorithm with 8 iterations and 4 subsets, correction for attenuation and scatter; CT: 130 kV, 17 mAs, 5 mm slices, image reconstruction in a medium smooth kernel). The reconstructed data were displayed as sagittal, coronal, and axial slices. Inherent image fusions were generated from the coregistered SPECT and low-dose CT images using the E.soft 2007 application package (Siemens Healthcare). Minor misregistrations were corrected manually. Delayed planar images were acquired 2 hours after colloid injection, followed by SPECT/CT if an SLN was only then visualized. If no SLN was visualized in these images, another set of planar images was acquired 2 hours later. No further imaging was performed if no SLN could be identified in the latter set of images.

After an SLN was visualized, a handheld probe (C-Trak, Care Wise Medical Products Corporation) was used to identify the site with the highest counts. This site was marked on the skin with permanent ink as a first guide to the supposed location of an SLN. The same handheld probe was used during surgery. After SLNE, ex-vivo counting was performed followed by probing of the lymphatic basin in search of additional nodes with high counts.

**Sentinel Lymph Node Excision**

The SLNE was performed as a standard procedure at the Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Essen.
sentinel lymph nodes from which a tumor-positive sentinel node was removed. The false-negative rate was defined as the ratio of false-negative results to the total number of positive nodes (false-negative nodes plus true-positive nodes).

**Table 1. Patient Characteristics of the SPECT/CT Cohort vs Standard Cohort of Lymphoscintigraphy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total (N = 403)</th>
<th>Standard Cohort (n = 254)</th>
<th>SPECT/CT Cohort (n = 149)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>58.62 (15.95)</td>
<td>58.11 (15.52)</td>
<td>59.48 (16.70)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>244 (60.5)</td>
<td>151 (59.4)</td>
<td>93 (62.4)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>159 (39.5)</td>
<td>103 (40.6)</td>
<td>56 (37.6)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor depth, mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.69 (2.18)</td>
<td>2.71 (2.08)</td>
<td>2.66 (2.38)</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary localization, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head or neck</td>
<td>38 (9.4)</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td>32 (21.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>175 (43.4)</td>
<td>110 (43.3)</td>
<td>65 (43.6)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremity</td>
<td>169 (41.9)</td>
<td>123 (48.4)</td>
<td>46 (30.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand or foot</td>
<td>21 (5.2)</td>
<td>15 (5.9)</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulceration of primary tumor, No. (%)</td>
<td>114 (28.3)</td>
<td>83 (32.7)</td>
<td>30 (20.0)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of SLNs, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head or neck</td>
<td>41 (10.2)</td>
<td>6 (2.0)</td>
<td>35 (23.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>191 (47.4)</td>
<td>123 (48.4)</td>
<td>68 (45.6)</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groin</td>
<td>166 (41.2)</td>
<td>122 (48.0)</td>
<td>44 (29.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectoral</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal</td>
<td>3 (0.7)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNs per patient</td>
<td>2.07 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.75)</td>
<td>2.40 (0.58/149)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>2.00 (0-9)</td>
<td>2.00 (0-9)</td>
<td>2.00 (0-9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive SLNs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, No. (%)</td>
<td>99 (24.57)</td>
<td>48 (18.9)</td>
<td>41 (27.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNs per patient</td>
<td>0.26 (0.4/0.2)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.14/0.25)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.51/0.149)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>0.00 (0-3)</td>
<td>0.00 (0-2)</td>
<td>0.00 (0-3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI &gt;30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, No. (%)</td>
<td>31 (7.7)</td>
<td>24 (9.4)</td>
<td>7 (4.7)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLNs per patient</td>
<td>2.05 (0.9/0.9)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.5/0.9)</td>
<td>2.85 (0.9/0.9)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive SLNs per all SLNs</td>
<td>0.14 (0.9/0.6)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.4/0.4)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.5/0.23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive SLNs per patient</td>
<td>0.29 (0.9/0.3)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.4/0.2)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.5/0.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared; SLNs, sentinel lymph nodes; SPECT/CT, single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography.

**Statistical Evaluation**

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc). The χ² test or Fisher exact test were used to evaluate relationships between categorical variables. Kaplan-Meier curves and the log-rank test were used to evaluate the relationship between the SLNE techniques (standard control vs SPECT/CT) and outcome from the operation date of the SLNE to the date of first-disease recurrence or the date of death or the last follow-up, whichever came first. The association between SPECT/CT, histology, sex, localization of the primary, age, Breslow thickness, ulceration, and SLN status as prognostic factors was analyzed for the clinical outcome by univariate analysis and stepwise multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model analysis. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs were calculated from the Cox proportional hazards regression model, including all factors for multivariate analysis as a 2-sided test. Differences were regarded statistically significant at P < .05.

Because SPECT/CT was performed only after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months. The statistical analysis was performed after November 2008, the follow-up of both cohorts was set at 48 months.
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...months to make the results comparable. Data from the univariate and multivariate analyses indicate that the study is adequately powered.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

From the initial cohort of 464 patients, 403 with clinically lymph node-negative data qualified for subsequent analysis between March 2003 and April 2011. Between March 2003 and October 2008, 254 patients with melanoma underwent SNLE without preoperative SPECT/CT. Between November 2008 and April 2011, all sentinel node scintigraphies were performed as SPECT/CT in 149 patients. We compared the 2 study cohorts and found no difference in sex, age, and especially not in the well-established predictive factor tumor thickness. There was no difference in scope or type of testing. After November 2008, SPECT/CT was performed in all patients.

Baseline characteristics, including analysis of overweight patients, are shown in Table 1. Melanomas with a Breslow thickness of at least 1.0 mm were included. Breslow thickness varied between 1.0 mm and 13.0 mm (mean, 2.69 mm; median, 1.90 mm). A total of 114 patients (28.3%) had melanomas that showed an ulceration of the primary tumor. Thirty-eight patients (9.4%) had melanomas that were localized on the head or neck area, 169 (41.9%) had melanomas localized on the extremities, and 20 (4.9%) in the SPECT/CT group refused SLNE. In 24 of these cases (72.7%), the skin incision was performed at a different localization in comparison with the lymphoscintigraphy and in 9 cases (27.2%), the skin incision was smaller.

In 68 of 89 patients (76.4%) with positive SLN, a complete lymphadenectomy was performed according to national guidelines. The remaining 21 patients who had a positive SNL refused the recommended lymphadenectomy and decided to have a guidelines-oriented follow-up with clinical examinations and ultrasonography.18

Sentinel Lymph Node

A total of 254 patients (63.0%) underwent lymphoscintigraphy with the standard cohort technique and 149 patients (37.0%) underwent surgery with the SPECT/CT technique. A total of 833 SLNs were removed from 403 patients. We found 2.40 SLNs per pa...
tient (out of 358 SLNs) in the SPECT/CT cohort and 1.87 SLNs per patient (out of 475 SLNs; 95% CI, 1.93-2.18) in the standard cohort (0.52 SLNs per patient; 95% CI, 0.28-0.79; P = .001). Fifty-one of 358 excised SLNs (14.2%) in the SPECT/CT cohort and 54 of 475 SLNs (11.4%) in the standard cohort showed metastatic involvement (−2.90%; 95% CI, −7.45% to −1.72%; P = .02). With respect to the 2 groups, we were able to identify 41 patients (27.5%) with positive SLNs in the SPECT/CT cohort and 48 (18.9%) with positive SLNs in the standard cohort (P < .001). The number of positive SLNs per patient was significantly higher in the SPECT/CT cohort than in the standard cohort (0.34 vs 0.21; 95% CI, 0.28-0.79; P = .02) (Table 1).

### Disease-Free Survival

Patient follow-up was between 0 and 93 months (mean, 28.8 months; median [SD], 22.00 [23.77] months). The SPECT/CT cohort was observed for 13.32 months (median [SD], 11.00 [11.37] months) and the standard cohort was observed for 37.69 months (median [SD], 35.00 [24.48] months). The false-negative SLN rate was 6.8% (3/41 patients) in the SPECT/CT cohort and 92.1% (15/48 patients) in the standard cohort (P = .03). Table 3 provides a summary of prognostic factors for disease-free survival by univariate and multivariate methods. The univariate analysis for SPECT/CT (HR, 3.21; 95% CI, 1.14-9.06; P = .03), Breslow thickness of 1.0 mm or more (HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.15-1.38; P < .001), histological positive SLNs (HR, 5.02; 95% CI, 2.79-9.03; P < .001), and ulceration of the primary melanoma (HR, 3.69; 95% CI, 2.04-6.67; P < .001) were statistically significant. Significant indicators of disease-free survival by multivariate analysis were the following for SPECT/CT (HR, 4.11; 95% CI, 1.25-13.51; P = .02), histologically positive SLNs (HR, 4.14; 95% CI, 2.14-7.98; P < .001), Breslow thickness of 1.0 mm or more (HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.02-1.32; P = .02), male sex (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.22-0.92; P = .03), and ulceration of the primary melanoma (HR, 2.07; 95% CI, 1.07-3.99; P = .03).

The calculated 4-year disease-free survival rates were 93.9% in the SPECT/CT cohort vs 79.2% in the standard cohort (P = .02) (Figure 1). The overall survival rates were 95.9% in the SPECT/CT cohort and 92.1% in the standard cohort (P = .80).

### Overweight Patients

Patients with body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) of 30 or higher (403 patients) had a significantly higher disease-free survival (HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.01-1.68; P = .03) than those with a BMI of less than 30 (254 patients). In the subgroup of patients who underwent SLNE (149 patients) with BMI values equal to or greater than 30 (median [SD], 35.00 [24.48] months), we detected 5 positive SLNs out of 20 (25%) in 7 obese patients in the SPECT/CT cohort. In the standard cohort, we found 4 positive SLNs out of 44 (9.1%) in 24 obese patients (P < .001) (Table 1).

©2012 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
SPECT/CT in patients with melanoma. Our study confirms the improved identification of SLNs in overweight patients with melanoma (Table 1) as well as those with tumors in the head and neck area. In the head and neck area, we used smaller incisions as well as alternative entry points due to the exact anatomical localization of the SLN. For example, if SPECT/CT demonstrates that the SLN is not under the sternocleidomastoid muscle but on the dorsal margin of the muscle, the surgeon is able to choose a different surgical entry point.

Figure 2. Preoperative Lymphoscintigraphy, SPECT/CT, and Low-Dose CT Imaging of 3 Representative Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lymphoscintigraphy</th>
<th>SPECT/CT</th>
<th>Low-dose CT</th>
<th>SPECT/CT</th>
<th>Low-dose CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> Patient with retroauricular melanoma</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong> Patient with malignant melanoma right side of the torso</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong> Patient with a malignant melanoma on right foot</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPECT/CT indicates single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography. The red lines indicate patients' body contour. A, Patient with a retroauricular melanoma: SPECT/CT in the coronal and axial planes shows 1 cranial sentinel lymph node (SLN) (blue arrowheads) and 1 caudal SLN (black arrowhead). B, Patient with a malignant melanoma on the right side of the torso: SPECT/CT in the axial plane of the axillary region shows 1 SLN (blue arrowhead). SPECT/CT in axial plane of the scapular region shows 1 SLN next to the coracoid (black arrowhead) and another SLN in the cranial axillary region (blue arrowhead). C, Patient with a malignant melanoma on the right foot: SPECT/CT in the coronal and axial planes of the popliteal region shows 1 SLN (blue arrowheads). SPECT/CT in the coronal and axial planes of the inguinal region shows 4 SLNs (black arrowheads).
In conclusion, the preoperative visualization of SLN with SPECT/CT is technically feasible and facilitates the detection of additional positive SLNs. The use of this technique offers the physician the preoperative possibility of determining the exact location and visualization of the SLN, especially if the tracer signal is too weak for detection by the handheld gamma probe alone or the SLN is in the immediate vicinity of the remaining tracer depot. In patients with cutaneous melanoma, the use of SPECT/CT-aided SLNE compared with SLNE alone was associated with higher detection of metastatic involvement and a higher rate of disease-free survival.

Author Contributions: Drs Stoffels and Klode had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: Boy, Klode. Acquisition of data: Stoffels, Boy, Pöppel, Kuhn, Klösgen, Klode. Analysis and interpretation of data: Stoffels, Boy, Dissemond, Schadendorf, Klode. Drafting of the manuscript: Stoffels, Pöppel, Kuhn, Klösgen, Dissemond, Klode. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Stoffels, Boy, Schadendorf, Klode. Statistical analysis: Stoffels, Boy, Klode. Administrative, technical, or material support: Stoffels, Boy, Pöppel.

Study supervision: Stoffels, Boy, Schadendorf, Klode.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Dr Schadendorf reported receiving consultancy fees, having board membership, and receiving lecture fees from GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Genentech, and MSD. No other authors reported any disclosures.

Funding/Support: This study was not supported by any grant or sponsor.

REFERENCES


7. Morton RL, Howard K, Thompson JF. The cost-effectiveness of sentinel node biopsy in patients with...

©2012 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.